

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
NORTHERN DIVISION

---

PAUL HAROLD SMITH, #161 990 \*  
Plaintiff, \*  
v. \* 2:08-CV-184-MEF  
(WO)  
BOB RILEY, GOVERNOR OF ALABAMA, \*  
*et al.*, \*  
Defendants.

---

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

On March 20, 2008 the court granted Plaintiff nineteen days to forward to the Clerk an initial partial filing fee in the amount of \$15.68. (*Doc. No. 8.*) Plaintiff was cautioned that his failure to comply with the March 20 order would result in a Recommendation that his complaint be dismissed. (*Id.*)

The court entered an order on April 14, 2008 directing Plaintiff to show cause why his case should not be dismissed for his failure to pay the initial partial filing fee in compliance with the court's March 20, 2008 order. (*Doc. No. 9.*) The order advised Plaintiff to inform the court whether he authorized prison officials to withdraw the funds from his prison account for payment of the initial partial filing fee, whether he was unable to comply at this time with the March 20 order, or whether he simply had chosen not to submit the filing fee. (*Id.*)

The requisite time has passed and Plaintiff has not provided the court with the initial partial filing fee nor has he responded to the court's April 14, 2008 order to show cause. Consequently, the court concludes that dismissal of this case is appropriate for Plaintiff's failures to comply with the orders of the court and prosecute this action.

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be DISMISSED without prejudice for Plaintiff's failures to comply with the orders of this court and prosecute this action.

It is further

ORDERED that the parties are DIRECTED to file any objections to the said Recommendation on or before **May 27, 2008**. Any objections filed must specifically identify the findings in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which a party objects. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations in the Magistrate Judge's report shall bar the party from a *de novo* determination by the District Court of issues covered in the report and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual findings in the report accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice. *Nettles v. Wainwright*, 677 F.2d 404 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982). See *Stein*

*v. Reynolds Securities, Inc.*, 667 F.2d 33 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982). *See also Bonner v. City of Prichard*, 661 F.2d 1206 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1981) (*en banc*), adopting as binding precedent all of the decisions of the former Fifth Circuit handed down prior to the close of business on September 30, 1981.

Done, this 13th day of May 2008.

/s/Terry F. Moorer  
TERRY F. MOORER  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE